## PROPOSITION \_\_\_\_ [I - 05 - 2022]

## **Predatory Debt Collection Protection Act**

## ANALYSIS BY LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

## **Legislative Council Staff Draft**

| 1  | Proposition would increase the following debt collection exemptions (and would                          |
|----|---|
| 2  | also provide that the exemption amounts would be increased annually based on the change in the          |
| 3  | United States Department of Labor consumer price index):  |
| 4  | 1. The homestead exemption on a debtor's home would increase from \$250,000 to                          |
| 5  | \$400,000.  |
| 6  | 2. The exemption on a debtor's household furniture, furnishings, goods and appliances                   |
| 7  | would increase from \$6,000 to \$15,000.  |
| 8  | 3. The exemption on the debtor's equity in one motor vehicle would increase from \$6,000                |
| 9  | to \$15,000, or if the debtor has a physical disability, from \$12,000 to \$25,000.                     |
| 10 | 4. The exemption on a debtor's single account in one financial institution would increase               |
| 11 | from \$300 to \$5,000.  |
| 12 | Proposition would decrease the portion of a debtor's weekly disposable earnings that                    |
| 13 | is subject to debt collection actions (other than support payments) to the lesser of 10% of the         |
| 14 | disposable earnings or sixty times the highest applicable federal, state or local minimum wage.         |
| 15 | Currently the amount of disposable earnings that is subject to debt collection actions (other than      |
| 16 | support payments) is the lesser of 25% of the disposable earnings or thirty times the federal           |
| 17 | minimum wage. Additionally, in a garnishment action, if the court determines by clear and               |
| 18 | convincing evidence that the 10% calculation on disposable earnings would cause extreme                 |
| 19 | economic hardship to the debtor or the debtor's family, the court may reduce the amount to 5% of        |
| 20 | disposable income. Currently, the court may reduce the amount to 15% of disposable income.              |
| 21 | Proposition would lower the maximum interest rate on medical debt (an obligation                        |
| 22 | arising directly from the receipt of medical products or devices or the receipt of health care services |
| 23 | provided at or by licensed health care institutions, the offices or clinics of most licensed health     |
| 24 | care providers or ambulance services) from the current rate of 10% per year (unless a different rate    |
| 25 | is contracted for in writing) to the lesser of 3% or an annual rate equal to the weekly average one-    |
| 26 | year constant maturity treasury yield, as published by the Federal Reserve Board, for the calendar      |
| 27 | week preceding the date when the consumer was first provided with a bill. The new maximum               |
| 28 | rate would also apply to judgments on medical debt.   |
| 29 | Proposition would only apply to contracts and agreements entered into on or after the                   |
| 30 | effective date of this measure. The proponents' political committee would have standing to defend       |
| 31 | the measure in any legal challenge.   |